

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/531,247	03/21/2000	Jian Qin	11710-0160	7378
23594	7590 03/05/2003			
JOHN S. PRATT			EXAMINER	
1100 PEACH	C STOCKTON LLP TREE		WILSON, DONALD R	
SUITE 2800 ATLANTA, O	7A 30309		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1713	
DATE MAILED:		DATE MAILED: 03/05/2003	,	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	pplicant(s)	AS 16		
	09/531,247	QIN ET AL.			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	D. R. Wilson	1713			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a					
THE REPLY FILED 12 February 2003 FAILS TO PL Therefore, further action by the applicant is required final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be eithe condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of A Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114	ACE THIS APPLICATION to avoid abandonment of ter: (1) a timely filed amend ppeal (with appeal fee); or	IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWAN his application. A proper reply to ment which places the application	ICE. a n in		
PERIOD FOR	R REPLY [check either a) o	r b)]			
a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date of this event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY V 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The state of the time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a).	s Advisory Action, or (2) the date so ter than SIX MONTHS from the ma WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONT the date on which the petition under	illing date of the final rejection. HS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MF 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate exten	PEP		
nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of 6 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorth b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	tened statutory period for reply orig	inally set in the final Office action; or (2) as	set forth in		
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appell 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	ed because:				
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require f	urther consideration and/or	search (see NOTE below);			
(b) 🛛 they raise the issue of new matter (see No	ote below);				
(c)	ion in better form for appea	by materially reducing or simpli	ifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without ca	nceling a corresponding nu	mber of finally rejected claims.			
NOTE: See attachment.					
Applicant's reply has overcome the following re	ejection(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) we canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ould be allowable if submit	ted in a separate, timely filed ame	endment		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request application in condition for allowance because		een considered but does NOT pla	ace the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	because it is not directed	SOLELY to issues which were ne	wly		
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)□ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	ows:				
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6,10-12,17-19 and 21</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 7-9,13	3-16 and 20.				
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is a)□ approved or b)[disapproved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure State	ement(s)(PTO-1449) Pape	er No(s)			
10. Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	-			
		D. R. Wilson Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1713			

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/531,247

Art Unit: 1713

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

Response to Proposed Amendment After Final

- 1. Applicant's proposed amendment filed 2/12/03, after final rejection, has been fully considered with the following results.
- 2. The proposed amendment will not be entered because it raises new issues as well as not placing the application in better condition for appeal. As previously pointed out, the amendment to the specification reciting the dimensions of fibers used in the invention is considered to be new matter because it is not supported by any evidence that such were publicly known to be the dimensions at the time of the invention. Applicant also appears to have introduced new matter in the claims as applicant has not pointed to any basis in the specification for the recited particulate or fiber dimensions. Applicant is reminded that the burden is on applicant, not the Examiner, to establish the basis for amendments. New issues which would require further consideration include the size limitations now present in the claims, clarity of the claims e.g., the new language of Claim 1 does not require the second functional group to be of the superabsorbent material, and Claim 10 narrows the material to that of a fiber which hasn't previously been considered.
- 3. Applicant's arguments, which continue to traverse the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, concerning the structure and chemistry of lauryldimethyl amine oxide is not deemed to be persuasive for reasons of record.
- 4. The proposed amendment to Claim 21 if entered would have overcome the separate rejection of this claim under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, and the rejection would have been withdrawn.
- 5. The proposed amendment if entered would have overcome the rejection of Claim 2 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, concerning the amount of water sufficient to solvate the surface, and in this regard the rejection would have been withdrawn. The proposed amendment would not have overcome the other bases of rejection for reasons of record.
- 6. Applicant's traversal of the prior art rejections is also not deemed to be persuasive for reasons of record.

adv: 12/28/02

Application/Control Number: 09/531,247

Art Unit: 1713

Future Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. R. Wilson whose telephone number is 703-308-2398.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on 703-308-2450. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications. The unofficial direct fax phone number to the Examiner's desk is 703-872-9029.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-2351.

> D. R. Wilson **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 1713

Page 3

adv: 12/28/02